

A note on isomorphisms between Hecke algebras

Ben Webster¹

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA

Abstract. We give a variant of the proof of Brundan and Kleshchev that KLR algebras for cyclic quivers and Hecke algebras at roots of unity are isomorphic. This new proof constructs a different isomorphism, which has the advantages both of behaving better in with respect to deformation of parameters, and having a more conceptual construction.

Fix a field $\mathbb{k}$ containing e distinct e th roots of unity for $e > 1$; we also fix a particular primitive e th root of unity $q \in \mathbb{k}$.

Associated to this data, we have two different algebras:

- the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_h$ of S_n with parameter qe^h , considered as a $\mathbb{k}[[h]]$ -algebra.
- the KLR algebra R_h of rank n for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ attached to the polynomials $Q_{i+1,i}(u, v) = u - v + h$, also considered over $\mathbb{k}[[h]]$.

These algebras are defined in [BK09, (4.1–5)] and [BK09, (1.6–15)] respectively; but here we consider them with the addition of an h -adic deformation.

These algebras are not isomorphic; they arguably live in “different worlds,” the affine Hecke algebra being a *trigonometric* object and the KLR algebra being a *rational* object. However, a common phenomenon in mathematics is the existence of an isomorphism between trigonometric and rational versions of an object after suitable completion; the “ur-isomorphism” of this type is between the associated graded of the K-theory of a manifold and its cohomology. Such an isomorphism has been given for completions of non-degenerate and degenerate affine Hecke algebras by Lusztig in [Lus89]. Another similar isomorphism is given in [GTL] for Yangians and quantum affine algebras.

A similar sort of isomorphism exists between certain completions $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ and $\widehat{R}_h$, which we will describe below.

Theorem 1. *There is a $\mathbb{k}[[h]]$ -algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h \cong \widehat{R}_h$.*

Such an isomorphism was implicitly constructed by Brundan and Kleshchev in [BK09] and for a related localization by Rouquier in [Rou, §3.2.5] for $h = 0$. Unfortunately, it is not clear how to extend these isomorphisms to the case where $h \neq 0$, so instead we construct an isomorphism which is different even after the specialization $h = 0$. We will also generalize this theorem in a small but useful way: in fact there is a natural class of completions of the Hecke algebra that correspond with the KLR algebra for a larger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}_U$; here we consider an arbitrary finite subset $U \subset \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\}$, given a graph structure connecting u and u' if $qu = u'$. The most important case is when U is the e th roots of unity, so U is an e -cycle, but having a more

¹Supported by the NSF under Grant DMS-1151473.

general statement will be useful in an analysis of the category $\mathcal{O}$ for a cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebra given in [Web]. While it would be easy to deduce this more general case from Brundan and Kleshchev's result, we find it a bit cleaner to give a direct proof.

This isomorphism still has a similar flavor to those previously defined; in brief, we use e^y where Brundan and Kleshchev or Rouquier use $y + 1$. The former choice matches better with the other rational/trigonometric isomorphisms we mentioned, but matching the deformation is a higher consideration for us than this philosophy.

We also introduce techniques that allow an easier account of Brundan-Kleshchev type isomorphisms, which we would argue make them more conceptual in natural. Our method, which is a variation on that used by Rouquier in [Rou, §3.2.5], is to construct an isomorphism between completions of the polynomial representations of $\mathcal{H}_h$ and R_h , and then to match the operators given by these algebras. This requires considerably less calculation than confirming the relations of the algebras themselves.

Our isomorphism and deformation are also compatible with deformations of cyclotomic quotients. For a fixed highest weight λ of $\mathfrak{G}_U$, there are cyclotomic quotients of both $\mathcal{H}_0$ and R_0 , which Brundan and Kleshchev construct an isomorphism between. We can deform this cyclotomic quotient with respect to variables $\mathbf{z} = \{z_{u,j}\}$ where u ranges over U , and for u fixed, j ranges from 1 to $\lambda^i = \alpha_u^\vee(\lambda)$.

For $\mathcal{H}_h$, we have a deformed cyclotomic quotient using the polynomials

$$C_u(A) = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda^i} (A - ue^{-z_{u;j}}) \quad C(A) = \prod_{u \in U} C_i(A).$$

That is, the roots of the polynomial used by Brundan and Kleshchev are deformed multiplicatively.

Definition 2. *The deformed cyclotomic quotient $\mathcal{H}_{h,\mathbf{z}}^\lambda$ is the quotient of the base extension $\mathcal{H}_h \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[[\mathbf{z}]]$ by the 2-sided ideal generated by $C(X_1)$.*

For R_h , the corresponding quotient is given by an additive deformation of the roots. For each $u \in U$, we have a polynomial $c_u(a) = \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda^i} (a - z_{u;j})$.

Definition 3. *The deformed cyclotomic quotient $R_{h,\mathbf{z}}^\lambda$ is a quotient of the base extension $R_h \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[[\mathbf{z}]]$ by the ideal generated by $c_{u_1}(y_1)e_{\mathbf{u}}$ for every length n sequence $\mathbf{u} \in U^n$.*

Theorem 4. *The isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \cong \widehat{R}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}[[h, \mathbf{z}]]$ -algebras $\mathcal{H}_{h,\mathbf{z}}^\lambda \cong R_{h,\mathbf{z}}^\lambda$.*

COMPLETIONS AND POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATIONS

Hecke algebras. We will follow the conventions of [BK09] concerning Hecke algebras; for simplicity, we only consider the non-degenerate case. Our basic object is $\mathcal{H}_h$, the affine Hecke algebra. Fix a element $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\}$; let e the multiplicative order of q (which may be ∞).

The algebra $\mathcal{H}_h$ is generated by $\{X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}\} \cup \{T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}\}$ with the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} X_r^{\pm 1} X_s^{\pm 1} &= X_s^{\pm 1} X_r^{\pm 1} & T_r^2 &= (qe^h - 1)T_r + qe^h \\ T_r X_r T_r &= qe^h X_{r+1} & T_r T_{r+1} T_r &= T_{r+1} T_r T_{r+1} \\ T_r X_s &= X_s T_r \quad (r \neq s, s+1) & T_r T_s &= T_s T_r \quad (r \neq s \pm 1) \end{aligned}$$

Our first task is to describe the completions that are of interest to us. Consider a finite subset $U \subset \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\}$; as before, we endow this with a graph structure by adding an edge from u to u' if $u' = qu$. Note that for U chosen generically there will simply be no edges, and that under this graph structure U will always be a union of segments and cycles with e nodes (if $e < \infty$).

Consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathbb{C}[X_i^{\pm 1}][[h]]$ generated by h and $X_1 - u$ for all $u \in U$. We thus have a nested sequence of ideals in $\mathcal{H}_h$ given by $\mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{H}_h \cdot \mathcal{I}^n \cdot \mathcal{H}_h$.

Definition 5. The topological algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ is the completion of $\mathcal{H}_h$ with respect to the sequence $\mathcal{J}_n$.

In the quotient $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$, we have that X_1 (and thus X_i , since these elements are conjugate) acts on the quotient $\mathcal{H}_0/J_n$ with spectrum μ_e ; each such quotient is the sum of the stable kernels of the elements $X_i - u$ for $u \in U$. Thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ is the sum of the stable kernels of these elements interpreted topologically. Consider a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U^n$; if we let

$$e_{\mathbf{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h = \{g \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h \mid \text{for each } m, (X_j - u_j)^N g \in J_m \text{ for } N \gg 0\},$$

then we have that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{u} \in U^n} e_{\mathbf{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$. Since the projection to one of these subspaces commutes with right multiplication, there is an idempotent $e_{\mathbf{u}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ whose action gives this projection (as the notation suggests).

The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ has a natural polynomial representation $\mathcal{P}$; this is generated by an element $\mathbb{1}$ subject to the relation $T_i \mathbb{1} = -\mathbb{1}$. One can calculate that the action of $T_i + 1$ on $F\mathbb{1}$ for any Laurent polynomial F is given by

$$(T_i + 1)F\mathbb{1} = \frac{qe^h X_{r+1} - X_r}{X_{r+1} - X_r} (F^{s_i} - F)\mathbb{1}.$$

The Hecke algebra acts faithfully on this representation, so we can identify the affine Hecke algebra with a subalgebra of operators on $\mathcal{P}$.

Of course, we can complete this representation as well to arrive at $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} := \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_h} \mathcal{P}$; this representation remains faithful after completion. The space $e_{\mathbf{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[[X_1 - u_1], \dots, (X_n - u_n), h]]$ via the action map on $e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1}$.

Remark 6. To simplify our notation, we have not considered the degenerate case where $q = 1$. In this case, there are two different generalizations of the story we have given.

We can replace the affine Hecke algebra with its degenerate analogue, and define the graph structure on U by connecting u to $u + 1$; we will have a Brundan-Kleshchev type isomorphism to a type A KLR algebra (after completion). Alternatively, we can soldier forward with the affine Hecke algebra, in which case we can replace the KLR algebra with smash product of S_n and a truncated polynomial ring, which we think of as the KLR algebra attached to a union

of Jordan quivers; we leave it to the reader to make appropriate modifications to salvage the theorems of the paper in either case.

KLR algebras. We wish to define a similar completion of the KLR algebra R_h for the graph U . We use the conventions of Brundan and Kleshchev, but we record the relations we need here for the sake of completeness and to match our slightly more general context. The algebra R_h is generated over $\mathbb{k}[h]$ by elements $\{e(\mathbf{u})\}_{\mathbf{u} \in U^n} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \cup \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\}$ subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(\mathbf{u})e(\mathbf{v}) &= \delta_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}e(\mathbf{u}); & \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in I^\alpha} e(\mathbf{u}) &= 1; \\
 y_r e(\mathbf{u}) &= e(\mathbf{u}) y_r; & \psi_r e(\mathbf{u}) &= e(\mathbf{u}^{s_r}) \psi_r; \\
 y_r y_s &= y_s y_r; \\
 \psi_r y_s &= y_s \psi_r & \text{if } s \neq r, r+1; \\
 \psi_r \psi_s &= \psi_s \psi_r & \text{if } s \neq r \pm 1; \\
 \psi_r y_{r+1} e(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{cases} (y_r \psi_r + 1) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+1}, \\ y_r \psi_r e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r \neq u_{r+1}; \end{cases} \\
 y_{r+1} \psi_r e(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{cases} (\psi_r y_r + 1) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+1}, \\ \psi_r y_r e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r \neq u_{r+1}; \end{cases} \\
 \psi_r^2 e(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+1}, \\ e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r \neq q^{\pm 1} u_{r+1}, u_{r+1}, \\ (y_{r+1} - y_r + h) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = q^{-1} u_{r+1}, q \neq -1, \\ (y_r - y_{r+1} + h) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = q u_{r+1}, q \neq -1, \\ (y_r - y_{r+1} + h)(y_{r+1} - y_r + h) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = -u_{r+1}, q = -1; \end{cases} \\
 \psi_r \psi_{r+1} \psi_r e(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{cases} (\psi_{r+1} \psi_r \psi_{r+1} + 1) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+2} = q^{-1} u_{r+1}, q \neq -1, \\ (\psi_{r+1} \psi_r \psi_{r+1} - 1) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+2} = q u_{r+1}, q \neq -1, \\ (\psi_{r+1} \psi_r \psi_{r+1} - 2y_{r+1} + y_r + y_{r+2}) e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } u_r = u_{r+2} = -u_{r+1}, q = -1, \\ \psi_{r+1} \psi_r \psi_{r+1} e(\mathbf{u}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

We let I be the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n, h]$ generated h and y_i for all i ; consider the ideals $J_n = R_h \cdot I^n \cdot R_h$. Let $\widehat{R}_h$ be the completion of R_h respect to the system of ideals J_n .

The algebra R_h also has a natural polynomial representation, defined by Rouquier in [Rou, §3.2] and Khovanov and Lauda in [KL09, §2.3]. This representation is generated by a single element $\mathbb{1}$, with the relations

$$\psi_k e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} = \begin{cases} 0 & u_k = u_{k+1} \\ (y_{r+1} - y_r + h) e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_k}} \mathbb{1} & u_k = q u_{k+1} \\ e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_k}} \mathbb{1} & u_k \neq u_{k+1}, q u_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Just as in the Hecke algebra, the action of ψ_k on arbitrary polynomials can be written in terms of Demazure operators. For a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[[h]][y_1, \dots, y_n]$, we

can describe the action as

$$\psi_k f e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{f^{s_k} - f}{y_{k+1} - y_k} e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} & u_k = u_{k+1} \\ (y_{r+1} - y_r + h) f^{s_k} e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_k}} \mathbb{1} & u_k = qu_{k+1} \\ f^{s_k} e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_k}} \mathbb{1} & u_k \neq u_{k+1}, qu_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

ISOMORPHISMS

Let $\gamma_p: \hat{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \hat{P}$ be the vector space isomorphism defined by

$$\gamma_p((X_1 - u_1)^{a_1} \cdots (X_n - u_n)^{a_n} e_{\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_1^{a_i} (e^{-a_i y_i} - 1) e_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

Those readers familiar with Brundan and Kleshchev's paper will note that this does not match their isomorphism; we could recover theirs by the same approach if we send the element above to $u_1^{a_1} \cdots u_n^{a_n} (-y_1)^{a_1} \cdots (-y_n)^{a_n}$.

Just as in Brundan and Kleshchev, it will be convenient for us to use different generators for $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$. Let

$$\Phi_r := T_i + \sum_{u_r \neq u_{r+1}} \frac{1 - qe^h}{1 - X_r X_{r+1}^{-1}} e_{\mathbf{Q}} + \sum_{u_r = u_{r+1}} e_{\mathbf{Q}}$$

Proposition 7. *The isomorphism γ_p induces an isomorphism $\gamma: \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h \cong \widehat{R}_h$ such that*

$$\gamma(X_r) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} u_r e^{-y_r} e_{\mathbf{u}} \quad \gamma(\Phi_r) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} A_r^{\mathbf{u}} \psi_r e_{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{for some } A_r^{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{k}[[y_1, \dots, y_n, h]]$$

which intertwines these two representations.

Proof. We can easily calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} &= \begin{cases} \frac{X_r - qe^h X_{r+1}}{X_{r+1} - X_r} e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_r}} \mathbb{1} & u_r \neq u_{r+1} \\ 0 & u_r = u_{r+1} \end{cases} \\ \Phi_r (X_{r+1} - X_r) e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} &= \begin{cases} (X_r - qe^h X_{r+1}) e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_r}} \mathbb{1} & u_r \neq u_{r+1} \\ 2(qe^h X_{r+1} - X_r) e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} & u_r = u_{r+1} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation of Φ_r with symmetric Laurent polynomials in the $X_i^{\pm 1}$'s, we obtain a general form of action of this operator on an arbitrary Laurent polynomial $F \in \mathbb{k}[h, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}]$. We let $F^{s_r}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = F(X_1, \dots, X_{r+1}, X_r, \dots, X_n)$.

$$(*) \quad \Phi_r F(X_1, \dots, X_n) e_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{X_r - qe^h X_{r+1}}{X_{r+1} - X_r} F^{s_r} e_{\mathbf{Q}^{s_r}} \mathbb{1} & u_r \neq u_{r+1} \\ \frac{X_r - qe^h X_{r+1}}{X_{r+1} - X_r} (F - F^{s_r}) e_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbb{1} & u_r = u_{r+1} \end{cases}$$

Now, consider how this operator acts if we intertwine with the isomorphism γ_p ; substituting into the formulas (*), we obtain that for a power series $f \in \mathbb{k}[[h, y_1, \dots, y_n]]$,

$$\gamma(\Phi)f(y_1, \dots, y_n)e_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbb{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - qu_{r+1}u_r^{-1}e^{y_r-y_{r+1}+h}}{u_{r+1}u_r^{-1}e^{y_r-y_{r+1}} - 1} f^{s_r} e_{\mathbf{u}^{s_r}}\mathbb{1} & u_r \neq u_{r+1} \\ \frac{qe^{y_r-y_{r+1}+h} - 1}{e^{y_r-y_{r+1}} - 1} (f^{s_r} - f)e_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbb{1} & u_r = u_{r+1} \end{cases}$$

Those readers uncertain of why we had to abandon Brundan and Kleshchev's isomorphism should work out the numerator of the first formula above when $u_r = qu_{r+1}$ using their isomorphism on the polynomials, and attempt to divide by $y_r - y_{r+1}$.

Let $B(u) = (e^u - 1)/u$; applied to any topologically nilpotent element of $\mathbb{k}[[h, y_1, \dots, y_n]]$, this gives an invertible element of the same ring. For $u \in \mathbb{C}$, note that $1 - ue^{y_r-y_{r+1}}$ is invertible if $u \neq 1$. Furthermore, if $u = 1$, we can write

$$1 - e^{y_r-y_{r+1}} = (y_r - y_{r+1})B(y_r - y_{r+1}) \quad 1 - e^{y_r-y_{r+1}+h} = (y_r - y_{r+1} + h)B(y_r - y_{r+1} + h).$$

Thus, if we set

$$A_r^{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - qe^{y_r-y_{r+1}+h}}{B(y_r - y_{r+1})} & u_r = u_{r+1} \\ \frac{B(y_r - y_{r+1} + h)}{q^{-1}e^{y_r-y_{r+1}} - 1} & u_r = qu_{r+1} \\ \frac{1 - qu_{r+1}u_r^{-1}e^{y_r-y_{r+1}+h}}{u_{r+1}u_r^{-1}e^{y_r-y_{r+1}} - 1} & u_r \neq u_{r+1}, qu_{r+1} \end{cases}$$

we immediately obtain that $A_r^{\mathbf{u}}\psi_re(\mathbf{u}) = \Phi_re(\mathbf{u})$. Since $A_r^{\mathbf{u}}$ is invertible, this immediately shows that the image of $\widehat{R}_h$ lies in that of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ and *vice versa*. Thus, we obtain an induced isomorphism between these algebras. $\square$

As mentioned in the introduction, this isomorphism induces isomorphisms between appropriate deformed cyclotomic quotients.

Proposition 8. *The isomorphism γ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{k}[[h, \mathbf{z}]]$ -algebras $\mathcal{H}_{h;\mathbf{z}}^{\lambda} \cong R_{h;\mathbf{z}}^{\lambda}$.*

Proof. By definition,

$$\gamma((X_1 - u_1e^{-z_{u_1;j}})e_{\mathbf{u}}) = u_1(e^{-y_1} - e^{-z_{u_1;j}}) = -e^{-z_{u_1;j}}B(-y_1 + z_{u_1;j})(y_1 - z_{u_1;j}).$$

Since $-e^{-z_{u_1;j}}B(-y_1 + z_{u_1;j})$ is invertible, this shows that $C_{u_1}(X_1)e_{\mathbf{u}}$ generates the ideal corresponding under γ to that generated by $c_{u_1}(y_1)e_{\mathbf{u}}$ for every $\mathbf{u}$. Since $C_j(X_1)e_{\mathbf{u}}$ is invertible in $e_{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{h;\mathbf{z}}e_{\mathbf{u}}$ when $j \neq u_1$, this shows that the cyclotomic ideals in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{h;\mathbf{z}}$ and $\widehat{R}_{h;\mathbf{z}}$ coincide. Thus, we have the desired isomorphism. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BK09] Jonathan Brundan and Alexander Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 451–484.
- [GTL] Sachin Gautam and Valerio Toledano-Laredo, *Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, arXiv:1012.3687.
- [KL09] Mikhail Khovanov and Aaron D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347. MR 2525917 (2010i:17023)

- [Lus89] George Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 3, 599–635. MR 991016 (90e:16049)
- [Rou] Raphael Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, [arXiv:0812.5023](#).
- [Web] Ben Webster, *Rouquier’s conjecture and diagrammatic algebra*.